1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Nevada Bar No. 1607 HARTMAN & HARTMAN 510 W. Plumb Lane, Suite B Reno, NV 89509 T: (775) 324-2800 F: (775) 324-1818 notices@bankruptcyreno.com T F	Michael S. Budwick, Esq. #938777 – Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Solomon B. Genet, Esq. #617911 – Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Gil Ben-Ezra, Esq. #118089 – Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Kevin Paule, Esq. #125276 – Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> MELAND BUDWICK, P.A. 3200 Southeast Financial Center 200 South Biscayne Boulevard Miami, Florida 33131 T: (305) 358-6363 F: (305) 358-1221 mbudwick@melandbudwick.com sgenet@melandbudwick.com gbenezra@melandbudwick.com kpaule@melandbudwick.com		
9	Attorneys for Christina W. Lovato, Chapter 7 Trustee			
10	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
11				
12	In re		Lead Case No.: (Chapter 7)	BK-19-50102-gs
13	DOUBLE JUMP, INC.			
14	Debtor.		Jointly Adminis	stered with:
15			19-50130-gs	DC Solar Solutions, Inc.
16	Affects:		19-50131-gs 19-50135-gs	DC Solar Distribution, Inc. DC Solar Freedom, Inc.
17	☐ DC Solar Solutions, Inc.		19-30133-gs	DC Solai Fleedolli, Ilic.
18	☐ DC Solar Distribution, Inc.			
19	□ DC Solar Freedom, Inc.□ Double Jump, Inc.			
	_			
20	CHRISTINA W. LOVATO,		Adversary No.:	
21	Plaintiff,		<i>j</i> 1.3.	
22	V.		ADVERSARY	COMPLAINT
23	LV STADIUM EVENTS COMPAN	JY LI C	. ———	
24		, i , LLC,		
25	Defendant.			
26	Christina W. Lovato, in her capacity as the chapter 7 trustee ("Trustee" or "Plaintiff") for			
27	the bankruptcy estates of DC Solar Solutions, Inc. ("DCSS"), DC Solar Distribution, Inc.			

("DCSD"), DC Solar Freedom, Inc. ("DCSF", and with DCSD and DCSS, "DC Solar") and

Double Jump, Inc. ("DJ," and with DC Solar, the "Debtors"), files her complaint ("Complaint")

commencing this adversary proceeding ("Action") against LV Stadium Events Company, LLC ("Defendant") and alleges as follows.

PARTIES

- 1. The Trustee is the chapter 7 bankruptcy trustee for the Debtors. The Trustee has the capacity to commence this action by way of, among other things, 11 U.S.C. § 323.
- 2. Defendant is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Nevada.

JURISDICTION

- 3. The Bankruptcy Court has jurisdiction over this case and this adversary proceeding under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b)(2)(A), (H) and (O). Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 4. The Trustee consents to the entry of final orders and judgments by the Bankruptcy Court.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

I. DC Solar and the Carpoff Ponzi Scheme

- 5. DC Solar was incorporated and headquartered in California.
- 6. DC Solar had, at all material times, certain legitimate business operations.
- 7. From no later than on or around calendar year(s) 2010 through 2018, DC Solar was engaged in a business related to manufacturing, marketing, selling and leasing mobile solar generators ("MSGs").
- 8. Generally, the business purported to operate as follows. DCSS would manufacture and sell MSGs for \$150,000 each. The buyers were typically tax-equity funds, 99% owned by a financial investor seeking investment federal tax credits. The buyers would provide DCSS a \$45,000 down-payment (30% of the total purchase price) and execute a promissory note for the remaining balance. The buyers would lease the MSGs to DCSS's affiliate DCSD, which in turn purported to sub-lease the MSG to an end-user/sub-lessee. The end-user's/sub-lessee's lease payments were intended to cover the interest payments on the promissory note.

9.

the MSGs.

in June 2015.
10. DC Solar had significant professional, operational and other expenses.
11. The financial investor that acquired the majority stake in the buyer sought to take advantage of valuable federal income tax benefits related to the purchase, use and depreciation of

and DC Solar, in connection with the socially-conscious nature of solar energy. DCSF was created

DCSF's role was in connection with marketing, branding and advertising the MSGs

- 12. Over the years, DCSS closed over two dozen transactions, purportedly selling over 15,000 MSGs and generating hundreds of millions of dollars of revenue.
- 13. However, certain of DC Solar's insiders, including Jeff Carpoff and Paulette Carpoff (together, the "*Carpoffs*"), were also perpetrating a Ponzi scheme ("*Carpoff Ponzi Scheme*") through DC Solar and other entities.
- 14. The Carpoffs caused DC Solar to transfer monies obtained from new buyers of MSGs to cover obligations owed to earlier buyers. This was contrary to the Carpoffs' representations to the financial investors that sub-lessee revenue would pay those obligations. Moreover, the Carpoffs misrepresented to the financial investors the number of MSGs that DC Solar manufactured, ultimately claiming in 2018 that the figure exceeded 15,000 when it was actually far less. Moreover, although the Carpoffs represented to the financial investors that DC Solar had a series of sub-lessees willing to pay to utilize the MSGs, some sub-leases were real but others were not.
- 15. Further, the Carpoffs looted DC Solar to, among other things, fund their own lavish lifestyle, including through casino gambling, buying a semi-pro baseball team, the use of private jets and the purchase of real and personal property (such as automobiles). And the Carpoffs looted DC Solar to, among other things, pay-off co-conspirators and make payments such as marketing payments (legitimate or illegitimate) to third-parties. This all was intended, in material part, to further the Carpoff Ponzi Scheme and/or create the perception that DC Solar was profitable.

- 16. Because of (among other things) the harm the Carpoffs inflicted upon DC Solar through the Carpoffs' wrongdoing, including the liabilities the Carpoffs created for DC Solar, DC Solar was insolvent on the date of the Transfer (defined below).
- 17. Certain of DC Solar's other insiders other than the Carpoffs knew of and participated in the Carpoff Ponzi Scheme.
- 18. However, certain other DC Solar-insiders, including individuals who (1) owned equity interests, (2) served as officers, (3) served as executives, and/or (4) held management-level positions, each at different times and with varying levels of authority over one or more of the Debtors, were unaware of and did not participate in the Carpoff Ponzi Scheme.

II. The Raid Through the Debtors' Bankruptcy Filing

- 19. On December 18, 2018, Federal law enforcement raided the business locations of DCSS, DCSD and certain other affiliates ("*Raid*").
- 20. After December 18, 2018 and before the Petition Date (defined below), DC Solar made substantial corporate governance changes, and retained GlassRatner Advisory & Capital Group LLC and designated Seth R. Freeman as chief restructuring officer.
- 21. Between January 31 and February 5, 2019 ("*Petition Date*"), the Debtors filed their voluntary chapter 11 petition. The Debtors' chapter 11 bankruptcy cases were converted to chapter 7 on March 22, 2019 and the Trustee was appointed as the chapter 7 trustee.

III. The Transfer to the Defendant

- 22. On or about July 3, 2018, DCSS transferred \$782,949 to and for the benefit of Defendant ("*Transfer*").
- 23. DCSS made the Transfer to satisfy an obligation of DC Solar Entertainment LLC ("*Licensee*"), a separate and distinct entity from DC Solar (although affiliated with the Carpoffs).
- 24. The Licensee's obligation arose in connection with the Carpoffs' efforts to obtain access to a suite at the home stadium for the Las Vegas Raiders.
- 25. DCSS made the Transfer but the Licensee received the value (if any). DCSS received nothing of value for the Transfer.

26. The Carpoffs caused DCSS to make the Transfer as a mechanism for the Carpoffs to loot the Debtors, benefit themselves, harm creditors, and further the perception that they and their businesses were successful, all in furtherance of and related to the Carpoff Ponzi Scheme. Thus, the Transfer furthered the Carpoffs' efforts to conceal their wrongdoing while enabling them to benefit and profit from their own scheme.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I

Actual Fraudulent Transfer 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(A)

- 27. The Trustee re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 26 as though fully stated herein.
- 28. DCSS made the Transfer within two years of the Petition Date.
- 29. The Carpoffs caused DCSS to make the Transfer with the actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud its creditors.

COUNT II Constructive Fraudulent Transfer 11 U.S.C. §§ 548(a)(1)(B)

- 30. The Trustee re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 26 as though fully stated herein.
- 31. DCSS made the Transfer within two years of the Petition Date.
- 32. By no later than the time of the Transfer, the Carpoffs were perpetrating the Carpoff Ponzi Scheme through DC Solar and other entities. At the time of the Transfer, because of the Carpoff Ponzi Scheme and the claims held by the buyers of MSGs against DCSS, DCSS: (1) was insolvent, as its liabilities exceeded its assets; (2) was engaged (and was continuing to engage) in a business or transaction for which DCSS' property remaining after the Transfer constituted unreasonably small capital; and (3) intended to incur or believed it would incur debts beyond its ability to pay.
 - 33. DCSS did not receive reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfer.

COUNT III 11 U.S.C. § 550(a)

34. The Trustee re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 26 as though fully stated herein.

- 35. The Trustee is entitled to avoid the Transfer pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §548. *See* Counts I and II above.
- 36. The Defendant is the initial transferee of the Transfer and the entity for whose benefit the Transfer was made. Therefore, once avoided, the Trustee may recover the Transfer from the Defendant.

COUNT IV

Quasi Contract for Unjust Enrichment Seeking Restitution

- 37. The Trustee re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 26 as though fully stated herein.
- 38. As a result of the Transfer, DCSS conferred a benefit upon the Defendant.
- 39. The Defendant obtained the benefit at the expense of DCSS.
- 40. It would be unjust to permit the Defendant to retain the benefit.
- 41. The Defendant should be required to provide restitution.

WHEREFORE, the Trustee prays for and demands order and judgment against the Defendants as follows:

- (1) Count I: Avoiding the Transfer under 11 U.S.C. §548(a)(1)(A).
- (2) Count II: Avoiding the Transfer under 11 U.S.C. §548(a)(1)(B).
- (3) Count III: Entering a Judgment in the amount of the Transfer in favor of the Trustee and against the Defendant along with interest both before and after the commencement of this Action.
- (4) Count IV: Ordering the Defendant to provide restitution to the Trustee in an amount equal to the Transfer.

In addition, the Trustee requests from the Court any such other and further relief, equitable or otherwise, that this Court deems just and proper.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

DATED: February 25, 2021.

HARTMAN & HARTMAN

/s/ Jeffrey L. Hartman, Esq.

Jeffrey L. Hartman, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff Christina W. Lovato

MELAND BUDWICK, P.A.

/s/ Michael S. Budwick, Esq.

Michael S. Budwick, Esq., Admitted Pro Hac Vice Solomon B. Genet, Esq., Admitted Pro Hac Vice Gil Ben-Ezra, Esq., Admitted Pro Hac Vice Kevin Paule, Esq., Admitted Pro Hac Vice Attorneys for Plaintiff Christina W. Lovato